

LAP Showcase – Hen Gerritse

(Introduced) Hen opened by saying that he had undertaken a review of the all the LAP produced by part5ners.

REPIAR priorities focused on implementation

What is the goal?

How did they affect the priorities?

What funding has been used?

What funding opportunities have been identified?

Medway

Much funding has already been obtained?

Influencing future funding?

Challenges

Clem added Combined funding by private combined with EU funding.

Paola

has benefited from the deeper knowledge of the LE

Recommended to develop P3 in particular.

Avrig

Jobs will be created if the plans for sustainable development are achieved. 90 jobs were planned for, already 3 jobs created with another 5 jobs for planting of willow and miscanthus.

Four mini-action plans were produced with an emphasis on renewable energy efficiency and regenerated energy networks.

A very detailed and clear road map has been defined

Challenges

Ensure that ULSG remains open for public engagement.

Florence

Success

Strong heritage and social focus, with social housing for artists and

Transport links need to be improved

Combining new and old heritage and new cultures

Challenges

Better integrated REE and accessibility such as car access and parking

Funding

Incubation centre

Better integrate the best practice from partners

Rostock

Renovation of the Kropeliner Tor – Main Gate

Focus on PII heritage preservation and modern uses – i.e. adapting ramparts
Renovating monastery garden
Voluntary work in the process

Challenges

Ensure wider integration of PI and PIV

Explore funding opportunities and streamline funding opportunities.

Karlskrona

Development goal was properly focused on local development strategy, addressed all four REPAIR Pillars

Jobs highlighted and great deal of involvement of ULSG.

Finance is a strong element in this LAP with private funding high

Challenge

The main challenge is to

Kaunas

Fitted to the Strategic plan 2008 – 2015 and regional development plan to 2020

Plan and regeneration is in line with overall policies and tailored to meet

Challenges

To address all REPAIR Pillar in a balanced way and develop successful structural funds, on joint recommendations

Opava

Successful elements. A wide goal of.....implementation addresses all REPAIR Pillars well.

Actions: It could be linked more toward the pillars.

The LAP was linked to

Challenges

LAP is open to options for development seeking concepts for re-use.

Needs to adapt a more focussed approach to seek funding.

Good practices

NDW

Has a strong linkage to strategies and

ULSG involves extensively and combined well to focus on development plans.

Development goal is sustainable and has benefits for several targets in the LAP

Challenges

Better learn from GPE

Strategies for funding healthy, nature etc.

Lessons to be learned

A motivated well informed driver.

At an early stage demonstrate some quick wins and realistic goals for achievement

GPE

Exchange of GPE has been a LESS IDENTIFIED partner

GPE should be put into practice to support the need in area

Integrated approach

Aims to overall sustainable development

Focus on the REPAIR Pillars

Thematic knowledge exchange

PORA sites were used a test bed

Thematic workshops

Implementation of PRA earlier could have facilitated better transfer of knowledge

Composition of ULSG

Private sector was under represented which could have improved the involvement.

Policy Context

Revitalisation of the perspective linked to the broader

Linkage to EU policy generally not highly developed but

Financial resources

More thorough SWOT is a must

Weakness of URBACT / REPAIR

Key messages

3 messages –

Paola (MG) more flexible approach to land use management.

LM – mentioned the difference between budgets –

CLA mention a recent flexible approach to planning and land use.

Private sector investment – advanced discussions with companies who do invest long-term investment plans so these IT investment plans can be connected directed to development. BT in Medway

Leverage of finance from foreign investment a key vehicle. We need to talk to banks more effectively. Linked to that venture capital initiatives.

State Aid – if we can involve the Private investor to review the

Rostock – PPP should be used for the management of a project it was learned that involvement of Private companies does not lead to altruistic approach from the private companies.

Need for more economic competence.

Andreas has learned from Thomas has produced a nice flyer for tourism A cruise liner with 2000 passenger cannot be handled without the staff.

Liliann – mentioned the need to find the right mot

Malcolm – state aid? Recommendation. Cannot equate between what can be Corporate Social responsibility and planning gain. Provides the chance to CSR + Heritage Management.

Opava –

Proposition 2

Have to find the technical solutions for REE, EE and Waste management.

VJ talked about REE, EE and waste manage

Liz referred to the enrichment of Learning from ASCEND.

Andreas added multi level approach to governance

Discussion Point 2

Allessanda – activeness will get the results (engagement)

Not thinking about the future.

Business planning,

Discussion Point 3

Liz – energy performance of public buildings directive with dispersion on heritage buildings regarding energy efficiency.

Anton added that private and some public own some forts. Governed by the national and local government.

Malcolm added that preservation through development – save 80% rather than not at all.

Allessandra –

Point 4

Andrius – said yes additional funding required.

Andreas - Investing in people economic and social development. Therefore we have both a soft and hard approach to a project.

