

URBACT



Co-funded by the European Union Interreg

**URBACT APN S.M.ALL** 

# FINAL ARTICLE

issued by the Lead Expert - Mr. Pietro Elisei





# A new map of movement: How nine European cities are redesigning accessibility for everyday life

### Pietro Elisei

URBACT, S.M.ALL, Lead Expert

On a bright and cool autumn morning in **Bucharest**, Romania, a group of schoolchildren walks along a newly widened pedestrian path. There are no cars pushing through, no parents anxiously double-parking. What used to be a busy drop-off road has turned into a modest but meaningful corridor for movement. To an outside eye, it is merely a safer pavement. To local planners, it is the symbol of something bigger: a shift toward mobility that works for everyone, every day!



Across Europe, from historic Ferrara to metropolitan Strasbourg, cities are learning that mobility is not just about how people move but about what, and who, they can reach.



The URBACT network S.M.ALL (Sustainable Mobility for All) has become a laboratory for this movement, testing solutions that go beyond transport engineering and into the social





fabric of daily life. At the heart of S.M.ALL is a simple but radical premise: **if a mobility solution works for the most vulnerable, it works for everyone**. Nine cities have used this lens to rethink accessibility, proving that safer school streets, incremental fixes, and citizenled design can achieve more than any traffic manual. Their work reveals a new geography of accessibility where mobility becomes proximity, inclusion, and dignity: not merely circulation.


The shift begins with a change of vocabulary. Traditional mobility planning revolves around speed, congestion and capacity. Accessibility, on the other hand, asks different questions:

### How far is the nearest school?

## Can a wheelchair user cross safely?

# What if a child walked instead of being driven?

This narrative took tangible form through **Urban Local Groups**, URBACT's participatory model that brings citizens, politicians and technicians into the same room. In Ferrara, teachers and parents redesigned school approach roads together. In **Druskininkai**, care workers cocreated a **Mobility Aids Library** – a simple pool of ramps and assistive devices that public institutions can borrow. In Bucharest, congestion around public schools became the starting point for a discussion about governance fragmentation and shared responsibility.







Notably, accessibility emerged as both a design principle and a social contract. Every city approached it in a different way:

• Metropolitan areas (Bucharest, Strasbourg, Sofia, Quadrilátero Urbano) focused on reducing congestion, modernising public transport and synchronising governance





arenas that often do not speak to each other. Here accessibility is structural: multimodal platforms, tram extensions, digital traffic systems and rules that make road space competitive rather than chaotic.

- Medium-sized cities (Ferrara, Larissa, Komotini) turned to active mobility, and school districts became the test ground. Larissa conducted detailed audits of pedestrian safety, while Komotini created a youth-driven monitoring system for accessible routes.
- Small cities (Druskininkai, Škofja Loka) translated accessibility into everyday usability: smoother sidewalks, easier wheelchair access, incremental fixes that may not appear glamorous but shift quality of life overnight.

Across all contexts, URBACT's signature methodology of co-creation and iterative learning produced not only reports but **public conversations capable of changing local cultures**. The S.M.ALL partners did not simply design streets, they reframed expectations. Parents began to understand that walking could be safe, children felt independent again, elderly residents regained confidence.

This was not planning just as negotiation but planning as civic literacy, that is a collective learning process.

15,83



Yet the road to accessibility is far from linear. Every city hit friction.

In major metropolitan areas, the journey slowed at the point where ambition meets governance.

Who owns the road?

Who funds the tram?

Who enforces the rules?





Bucharest's overlapping authorities remain a barrier to coordinated solutions, while Strasbourg, despite its famous cycling culture, faces a surprising decline in active mobility among young people.

Medium-sized cities confronted a different obstacle: culture. In **Komotini and Larissa**, decades of car dependency cannot be overturned by a painted bike lane. Even the most elegant tactical pilot risks being dismissed if accompanied by poor communication or if bumps and kerbs persist just a few metres down the road.

Meanwhile, smaller cities face the quiet but relentless challenge of demographic reality: ageing populations, shrinking budgets and distances that are sometimes too short for a bus but too long for a walk.

Despite shared commitment, all nine partners acknowledge the same structural weaknesses: long-term financial uncertainty, reliance on EU funds, governance silos and the complexity of scaling up small pilots into citywide programmes.

If mobility is the visible system, accessibility is what makes the system human – and humans, as planners know, are unpredictable.



The S.M.ALL story is not a story of miracles, not about grand openings of new infrastructure. It is a story of how **small**, **human-centred**, **replicable and transferable actions** can reshape the very idea of mobility.

By centring accessibility rather than traffic efficiency, nine different cities reached one common understanding: street space is political space. It shapes who can learn, work, play, age, and participate.

As Bucharest widens its next pedestrian link and Druskininkai lends its next accessibility ramp, the proof continues to accumulate. A child safely crossing alone, a parent who stops driving daily, an elderly resident who navigates public space without fear – these are not





anecdotes. They are metrics. They are urban progress made visible by the quiet revolution of accessibility.

The final lesson is both modest and profound: **sustainable mobility is not a destination but a culture**. Accessibility turns that culture into practice – tangible, local, and shared.

And on that pavement in Slovenia, where a simple path changed the choreography of a neighbourhood morning, the future of mobility looks less like movement and more like belonging.



URBACT



Co-funded by the European Union Interreg

This document has been issued by the Lead Expert of the URBACT APN *S.M.ALL - Sharing Urban Solutions towards Sustainable Mobility for ALL* as part of the project's activities and in line with the URBACT Methodology