

Coherence check of funding applications

Section A: Contribution to the aims and objectives of the funding programme/call
A.1. Does the project show a clear commitment towards contributing towards the aims of the call?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.2 Have the potential synergies with other projects been described?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.3 Has the added value of the project in contributing to existing strategies been described sufficiently?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.4 Has the innovative character of the project been outlined clearly?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.5 Has the workplan of the project and its range of activities been built around the key objectives of the funding call?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.6 Have the project needs been well justified and furnished with relevant evidence?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.7 Are the results and outcomes of the project tangible and directly relevant to the performance indicators of the funding programme/call?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.8 Is the methodological approach chosen by the project relevant and in line with the suggested approaches in the funding programme/call?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
A.9 Are the specific requirements of the call clearly and sufficiently been addressed?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>

Section B: Coherence and feasibility of the project
B.1 Does the application present the project as a realistic and feasible initiative (including its financial and time propositions and thematic focus)?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.2 Is the project work plan coherent and realistic in view of the project costings / budget allocations?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.3 Does the application present a coherent document; are all activities represented in the project budget; are partnership arrangements thought through and roles and responsibilities are suitable for the type of project and its activities?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.4 Does the application present the project in a convincing manner with regard to the competence of the project team to deliver the project and achieve the change envisaged?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.5 Are the project management structures and processes appropriate for the size and type of project and the size of partnership and its activities?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>

B.6 Is the proposed project delivery approach well thought-through, results-orientated, coherent with the various activities and project partners?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.7 Does the application clearly describe how the project will attend to communication with its project team and external stakeholders, including target groups and how it will reach them?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.8 Are the project activities and their results and outputs SMART (strategic, measurable, ambitious, realistic and timebound)?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.9 Does the application include a sufficiently comprehensive risk analysis including relevant prevention and mitigation measures?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
B.10 Has the application sufficiently described how the project will contribute to environmental sustainability and equalities and social inclusion aspects?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>

Section C: Budget and Value for Money
C.1 Does the project present a cost-efficient and cost-effective approach in achieving the set results and outcomes?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
C.2 Is the project budget appropriate for the project activities, the length of the project, the size of the project partnership?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
C.3 Is the project budget sufficiently detailed, justified and is it accurate?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>
C.4 Is the project and its budget in line with all the eligibility criteria and technical specifications demanded by the call?
<i>Appraiser's comment:</i>